## Listen Summarize Build Mark Accountable to Pay attention to the statements the Learning Adds to the statement of a of others, maintains eye contact, Restates the ideas of a Directs attention to the Community previous speaker. uses appropriate tone & volume. previous speaker in new importance of another's language. statement. Choose one 4 (consistently) 4 (consistently) 4 (consistently) 4 (consistently) descriptor from this 3 (most of the time) category. 2 (some of the time) 1 (rarely) 1 (rarely) 1 (rarely) 1 (rarely) 0 (not at all) 0 (not at all) 0 (not at all) 0 (not at all) Verify Unpack \* Support \* Point out the relationships Accountable to among previous statements Check your understanding of the Knowledge Explain how you arrived at & knowledge. previous statements & Give examples & evidence your answer. knowledge. to support your answer. 4 (consistently) Must demonstrate 4 (consistently) 3 (most of the time) 4 (consistently) starred descriptors. 4 (consistently) 3 (most of the time) 2 (some of the time) 3 (most of the time) 3 (most of the time) 2 (some of the time) 1 (rarely) 2 (some of the time) 2 (some of the time) 1 (rarely) 0 (not at all) 1 (rarely) 1 (rarely) 0 (not at all) 0 (not at all) 0 (not at all) Defend Challenge Combine Predict Accountable to Rigorous Draw conclusions about Ask a previous speaker to Incorporate knowledge from Defend your reasoning against a what might happen next, or **Thinking** explain & provide evidence multiple resources to form different point of view. as a result of ideas. for a statement. your ideas. 4 (consistently) Choose one 4 (consistently) 3 (most of the time) 4 (consistently) 4 (consistently) 3 (most of the time) descriptor from this 3 (most of the time) 2 (some of the time) 3 (most of the time) 2 (some of the time) category. 2 (some of the time) 1 (rarely) 2 (some of the time) 1 (rarely) 0 (not at all) 1 (rarely) 1 (rarely) 0 (not at all) 0 (not at all) 0 (not at all)

## Accountable Talk Rubric for Text-Dependent Discussions

Criteria	2	1	0
On Task	Conversation focused on the assigned topic or text without digression. The discussion did not stray into personal anecdotes or casual chitchat.	Conversation was focused on the assigned topic or text most of the time	Conversation was unfocused and only superficially dealt with the assigned topic or text.
Depth	The discussion delved deeply into the topic in question, developing answers with text and examples, without resorting to ping-pong responses limited to one point.	The discussion may have, at times, delved deeply, but occasionally devolved into ping-pong or circled a particular point with no resolution.	The discussion did not progress or develop the topics. Frequent ping-pong or digression prevented in-depth understanding.
Proof/Analysis	Textual references were provided for each claim or idea.  Text was cited to prove or disprove ideas and analysis supported ideas.	Textual references were sometimes cited but not enough for clarity. References were sometimes vague or general.	Very few, if any, explicit references were used to develop discussion.

What grade would you give yourself/your pair/your group/the class for this discussion?

What contribution did you make to the discussion? Share a specific comment you made.

How did you contribute to the pair/group/class's grade on the rubric? Be specific (What area? In what way?).